

|   |                        |                     |  |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|   | 10/590,019             | VANHOUTTE ET AL.    |  |

|                   |                 |  |
|-------------------|-----------------|--|
| <b>Examiner</b>   | <b>Art Unit</b> |  |
| David M. Brunsman | 1793            |  |

**All Participants:**

(1) David M. Brunsman.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) James Wray.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 22 July 2010

**Time:** 2:35

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*all*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant's representative agreed to the Examiner suggested attached examiner's amendment to place application in condition for allowance*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/David M Brunsman/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)